Root Finding Root Finding Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis Frror Analysis Outline Numerical Analysis and Computing 1 Root Finding Lecture Notes #3 - Solutions of Equations in One Variable: Fixed Point Iteration Fixed Point Iteration; Root Finding; Error Analysis for Iterative • Detour: - Non-unique Fixed Points... Methods Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Newton's Method Joe Mahaffy, The Secant Method (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) • The Regula Falsi Method • Quick Summary Department of Mathematics Dynamical Systems Group 3 Error Analysis Computational Sciences Research Center San Diego State University Convergence San Diego, CA 92182-7720 Practial Application of the Theorems http://www-rohan.sdsu.edu/~jmahaffy Roots of Higher Multiplicity Spring 2010 SDSU SDS Joe Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) Solutions of Equations in One Variable Joe Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) Solutions of Equations in One Variable — (1/56) — (2/56) **Root Finding** Root Finding **Fixed Point Iteration** Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis Error Analysis Quick Recap Fixed Point Iteration ⇔ Root Finding If f(p) = p, then we say that p is a **fixed point** of the function f(x). We note a strong relation between root finding and finding fixed points: To convert a fixed-point problem Last time we looked at the **method of bisection** for finding the g(x) = xroot of the equation f(x) = 0. to a root finding problem, define Now, we are take a short detour in order to explore how f(x) = g(x) - x, and look for roots of f(x) = 0. **Root finding:** f(x) = 0, To convert a root finding problem is related to f(x) = 0. **Fixed point iteration:** f(p) = p. to a fixed point problem, define g(x) = f(x) + x, and look for fixed points g(x) = x. 5050

Joe Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) Solutions of Equations in One Variable

Joe

— (3/56)

Joe Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) Solutions of Equations in One Variable

- (4/56)

Root Finding Improved Algorithms for Root Finding

Fixed Point Iteration

Why Consider Fixed Point Iteration?

If fixed point iterations are (in some sense) equivalent to root finding, why not just stick to root finding???

- Sometimes easier to analyze. 1.
- What we learn from the analysis will help us find good root 2. finding strategies.

Joe Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) Solutions of Equations in One Variable

Fixed Point Iteration

Example: The Bored Student Fixed Point

A "famous" fixed point is p = 0.73908513321516 (radians), *i.e.* the number you get by repeatedly hitting **cos** on a calculator. This number solves the fixed point equation:

 $\cos(p) = p$

With a starting value of p = 0.3 we get:



— (7/56)

— (8/56)

Root Finding Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis Fixed Point Iteration Detour: — Non-unique Fixed Points	Root Finding Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis Fixed Point Iteration Detour: — Non-unique Fixed Points
Proof of the Fixed Point Theorem 2 of 2	Convergence of the Fixed Point Sequence
b. $ f'(x) \le k < 1$. Suppose we have two fixed points $p^* \ne q^*$. Without loss of generality we may assume $p^* < q^*$. The mean value theorem tells us $\exists r \in (p^*, q^*)$: $f'(r) = \frac{f(p^*) - f(q^*)}{p^* - q^*}$ Now, $ p^* - q^* = f(p^*) - f(q^*) $ $= f'(r) \cdot p^* - q^* $ $\le k p^* - q^* $ $< p^* - q^* $	 Or, "how come hitting cos converges???" Take a look at the theorem we just proved — part (a) guarantees the existence of a fixed point. — part (b) tells us when the fixed point is unique. We have no information about finding the fixed point! We need one more theorem — one which guarantees us that we can find the fixed point!
The contradiction $ \mathbf{p}^* - \mathbf{q}^* < \mathbf{p}^* - \mathbf{q}^* $ shows that the supposition $p^* \neq q^*$ is false. Hence, the fixed point is unique.	SDSU
Joe Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) Solutions of Equations in One Variable	Joe Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) Solutions of Equations in One Variable — (10/56)
Root Finding Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis Fixed Point Iteration Detour: Non-unique Fixed Points	Root Finding Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis Fixed Point Iteration Detour: — Non-unique Fixed Points
Convergence of the Fixed Point Sequence Theorem	Convergence of the Fixed Point Sequence Proof
Suppose both part (a) and part (b) of the previous theorem (here restated) are satisfied:	That's great news! — We can use any starting point, and we are guaranteed to find the fixed point.
Theorem (Convergence of Fixed Point Iteration)	The proof is straight-forward:
 a. If f ∈ C[a, b] and f(x) ∈ [a, b], ∀x ∈ [a, b], then f has a fixed point p ∈ [a, b]. (Brouwer fixed point theorem) b. If, in addition, the derivative f'(x) exists on (a, b) and f'(x) ≤ k < 1, ∀x ∈ (a, b), then the fixed point is unique. c. Then, for any number p₀ ∈ [a, b], the sequence defined by p_n = f(p_{n-1}), n = 1, 2,, ∞ 	$\begin{aligned} p_n - p^* &= f(p_{n-1}) - f(p^*) \\ &= f'(r) \cdot p_{n-1} - p^* & \text{by } \{\text{MVT}\} \\ &\leq k p_{n-1} - p^* & \text{by } \text{b} \end{aligned}$ Since $k < 1$, the distance to the fixed point is shrinking every iteration. In fact,

Joe Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) Solutions of Equations in One Variable

Joe Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) Solutions of Equations in One Variable

(12/56)

Root Finding Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis Fixed Point Iteration Detour: — Non-unique Fixed Points	Root Finding Fixed Point Iteration Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Detour: — Non-unique Fixed Points
Example: $x^3 + 4x^2 - 10 = 0$ 1 of 2	Example: $x^3 + 4x^2 - 10 = 0$ 2 of 2
The equation $x^3 + 4x^2 - 10 = 0$ has a unique root in the interval [1,2]. We make a couple attempts at finding the root: 1. Define $g_1(x) = x^3 + 4x^2 - 10 + x$, and try to solve $g_1(x) = x$. This fails since $g_1(1) = -4$, which is outside the interval [1,2]. 2. Define $g_2(x) = \sqrt{10/x - 4x}$, and try to solve $g_2(x) = x$. This fails since $g_2(x)$ is not defined (or complex) at $x = 2$. 3. It turns out that the best form is solving $x = g_3(x)$, where $x^3 + 4x^2 - 10$	$ \begin{aligned} & \int_{a_{1}}^{a_{1}} \int_{a_{2}}^{a_{2}} \int_{a_{2}}^{a_{2}} \int_{a_{3}}^{a_{4}} \int_{a_{4}}^{a_{2}} \int_{a_{4}}^{a_{4}} \int_{a_$
$g_3(x) = x - \frac{x + 4x - 10}{3x^2 + 8x},$ that's probably not obvious at first glance!!! (Continued)	The bottom line is that without more analysis, it is extremely hard to find the best (or even a functioning) fixed point iteration which finds the correct solution.
Joe Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) Solutions of Equations in One Variable — (13/56)	Joe Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) Solutions of Equations in One Variable
Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis Fixed Point Iteration Detour: — Non-unique Fixed Points	Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis Fixed Point Iteration Detour: — Non-unique Fixed Points
Non-uniqueness of the Fixed Point 1 of 6	Non-uniqueness of the Fixed Point 2 of 6
Strange, and sometimes beautiful things happen when part (a) (existence) of the fixed-point theorem is satisfied, but part (b) is not	By solving the quadratic equation, $ax^2 + x - 1 = 0$, we get the fixed point to be $p^* = -\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 + 4a}}{2a}$
Let us consider the family of functions $f_a(x)$ parametrized by a , defined as $f_a(x)=1-ax^2, x\in [-1,1]$	the other root is outside the interval $[-1, 1]$. The derivative of $f_a(x) = 1 - ax^2$ at the fixed point is:
Given a particular value of a , the fixed point iteration	$f_a'(p^*)=-2a\left[rac{1-\sqrt{1+4a}}{2a} ight]=\sqrt{1+4a}-1>0$
$x_n = f_a(x_{n-1}) = 1 - ax_{n-1}^2$	$ f_{a}'(p^{*}) = \sqrt{1 + 4a} - 1$
has a fixed point for values of $a \in [0, 2]$.	$ f_{n+1}(x^*) < 1 \text{hut comothing definitely}$

— (15/56)

— (16/56)



Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis Fixed Point Iteration Detour: — Non-unique Fixed Points	Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis Quick Summary
Summary: Playing with Fixed Point Iterations	Back to the Program: Quick Recap and Look-ahead
The analysis of such "bifurcation diagrams" is done in Math 538 "Dynamical Systems and Chaos" The dynamics of $f_a(x) = 1 - ax^2$ is one of the simplest examples of chaotic behavior in a system.	 So far we have looked at two algorithms: 1. Bisection for root finding. 2. Fixed point iteration. We have see that fixed point iteration and root finding are strongly related, but it is not always easy to find a good fixed-point formulation for solving the root-finding problem. In the next section we will add three new algorithms for root finding: Regula Falsi Secant Method Newton's Method
Joe Mahaffy. (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) Solutions of Equations in One Variable — (21/56)	Joe Mahaffy. (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) Solutions of Equations in One Variable — (22/56)
Newton's Method Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis Newton's Method for Root Finding Prove the secant Method The Secant Method The Regula Falsi Method Quick Summary 1 of 2	Root Finding Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis Newton's Method The Secant Method The Regula Falsi Method Quick Summary Newton's Method for Root Finding 2 of 2
Recall: we are looking for x^* so that $f(x^*) = 0$. If $f \in C^2[a, b]$, and we know $x^* \in [a, b]$ (possibly by the intermediate value theorem), then we can formally Taylor expand around a point x close to the root: $0 = f(x^*) = f(x) + (x^* - x)f'(x) + \frac{(x - x^*)^2}{2}f''(\xi(x)), \xi(x) \in [x, x^*]$ If we are close to the root, then $ x - x^* $ is small, which means that $ x - x^* ^2 \ll x - x^* $, hence we make the approximation: $0 \approx f(x) + (x^* - x)f'(x), \Leftrightarrow x^* \approx x - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)}$	Newton's Method for root finding is based on the approximation $x^* \approx x - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)}$ which is valid when x is close to x^* . We use the above in the following way: given an approximation x_{n-1} , we get an improved approximation x_n by computing $x_n = x_{n-1} - \frac{f(x_{n-1})}{f'(x_{n-1})}$ Geometrically, x_n is the intersection of the tangent of the function at x_{n-1} and the x-axis.



- (27/56)

Theorem

Let $f(x) \in C^2[a, b]$. If $x^* \in [a, b]$ such that $f(x^*) = 0$ and $f'(x^*) \neq 0$, then there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that Newton's method generates a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converging to x^* for any initial approximation $x_0 \in [x^* - \delta, x^* + \delta]$.

The theorem is interesting, but quite useless for practical purposes. In practice: Pick a starting value x_0 , iterate a few steps. Either the iterates converge quickly to the root, or it will be clear that convergence is unlikely.

Then (the fixed point theorem), we must find an interval $[x^* - \delta, x^* + \delta]$ that g maps into itself, and for which $|g'(x)| \le k < 1$.

g'(x) is quite an expression:

$$g'(x) = 1 - \frac{f'(x)f'(x) - f(x)f''(x)}{[f'(x)]^2} = \frac{f(x)f''(x)}{[f'(x)]^2}$$

By assumption, $f(x^*) = 0$, $f'(x^*) \neq 0$, so $g'(x^*) = 0$. By continuity $|g'(x)| \leq k < 1$ for some neighborhood of x^* ... Hence the fixed point iteration will converge. (Gory details in the book).

5050

(28/56)

Newton's Method The Secant Method The Regula Falsi Method Quick Summary

Algorithm — Newton's Method

Algorithm: Newton's Method

- Input: Initial approximation p_0 ; tolerance TOL; maximum number of iterations N_0 . Output: Approximate solution p, or failure message. 1. Set i = 12. While $i \le N_0$ do 3--6 3. Set $p = p_0 - f(p_0)/f'(p_0)$
- 4. If $|p-p_0| < TOL$ then
- 4a. output p
- 4b. stop program
- 5. Set i = i + 1
- 6. Set $p_0 = p$.
- 7. Output: "Failure after N_0 iterations."

Newton's Method The Secant Method The Regula Falsi Method Quick Summary

The Secant Method

The main weakness of Newton's method is the need to compute the derivative, $f'(\cdot)$, in each step. Many times $f'(\cdot)$ is far more difficult to compute and needs more arithmetic operations to calculate than f(x).

What to do??? — Approximate the derivative!

By definition

$$f'(x_{n-1}) = \lim_{x \to x_{n-1}} \frac{f(x) - f(x_{n-1})}{x - x_{n-1}}$$

Let $x = x_{n-2}$, and approximate

Strategy: The Secant Method

 $f'(x_{n-1}) \approx \frac{f(x_{n-2}) - f(x_{n-1})}{x_{n-2} - x_{n-1}}.$

 $x_n = x_{n-1} - \frac{f(x_{n-1})[x_{n-2} - x_{n-1}]}{f(x_{n-2}) - f(x_{n-1})}$

SDSU

(30/56)

3 of 3

1 of 3

be Mahaffy, $\langle \texttt{mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu} \rangle$	Solutions of Equations in One Variable	— (29/56)	Joe Mahaffy ~ mahaffy @math.sdsu.edu	Solutions of Equations in One Variable	
					_
Root Finding Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis	Newton's Method The Secant Method The Regula Falsi Method Quick Summary		Root Finding Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis	Newton's Method The Secant Method The Regula Falsi Method Quick Summary	
Method		2 of 3	The Secant Method		

5050

(31/56)

Using the approximation

The Secant

$$f'(x_{n-1}) \approx \frac{f(x_{n-2}) - f(x_{n-1})}{x_{n-2} - x_{n-1}}$$

for the derivative in Newton's method

$$x_n = x_{n-1} - \frac{f(x_{n-1})}{f'(x_{n-1})},$$

gives us the Secant Method

$$x_n = x_{n-1} - \frac{f(x_{n-1})}{\left[\frac{f(x_{n-2}) - f(x_{n-1})}{x_{n-2} - x_{n-1}}\right]}$$

$$= x_{n-1} - \frac{f(x_{n-1})[x_{n-2} - x_{n-1}]}{f(x_{n-2}) - f(x_{n-1})}$$

-10.8 1 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8

Joe Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) Solutions of Equations in One Variable

2.2

cant line...

Instead of (as in Newton's

method) getting the next iterate from the zero-crossing of the tangent line, the next iterate for the secant method is the zero-crossing of the se-

— (32/56)

505

Joe Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) Solutions of Equations in One Variable

Newton's Method The Secant Method The Regula Falsi Method Quick Summary

Algorithm — The Secant Method

Algorithm: The Secant Method

Input: Initial approximations p_0 , p_1 ; tolerance TOL; maximum number of iterations N_0 .

Output: Approximate solution *p*, or failure message.

1. Set
$$i = 2$$
, $q_0 = f(p_0)$, $q_1 = f(p_1)$

2. While $i \le N_0$ do 3--6

3. Set
$$p = p_1 - q_1(p_1 - p_0)/(q_1 - q_0)$$

- 4. If $|p p_1| < TOL$ then
- 4a. output *p*
- 4b. stop program

5. Set
$$i = i + 1$$

6. Set
$$p_0 = p_1$$
, $q_0 = q_1$, $p_1 = p$, $q_1 = f(p_1)$

7. Output: "Failure after N_0 iterations."

Newton's Method The Secant Method The Regula Falsi Method Quick Summary

Regula Falsi (the Method of False Position)

Regula Falsi is a combination of the Secant and the Bisection methods: We start with two points a_{n-1} , b_{n-1} which bracket the root, *i.e.* $f(a_{n-1}) \cdot f(b_{n-1}) < 0$. Let s_n be the zero-crossing of the secant-line, *i.e.*

$$s_n = b_{n-1} - f(b_{n-1}) \left[\frac{a_{n-1} - b_{n-1}}{f(a_{n-1}) - f(b_{n-1})} \right].$$

Update as in the bisection method:

$\text{if } f(a_{n-1}) \cdot f(s_n) > 0$	then $a_n = s_n$,	$b_n=b_{n-1}$
$\text{if } f(a_{n-1}) \cdot f(s_n) < 0$	then $a_n = a_{n-1}$,	$b_n = s_n$

Regula Falsi is seldom used (it can run into some "issues" – to be explored soon), but illustrates how bracketing can be incorporated.

Next Iterate

 $m_{n+1} = (a_n + b_n)/2$:

Midpoint of bracketing interval:

else { $a_{n+1} = a_n, b_{n+1} = m_{n+1}$ }.

 $s_{n+1} = x_n - f(x_n) \cdot \frac{x_n - x_{n-1}}{f(x_n) - f(x_{n-1})}$:

else { $a_{n+1} = a_n$, $b_{n+1} = s_{n+1}$ }.

 $x_{n+1} = x_n - f(x_n) \cdot \frac{x_n - x_{n-1}}{f(x_n) - f(x_{n-1})}$

Zero-crossing of tangent line:

 $x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$

Zero-crossing of secant line:

Zero-crossing of secant line:

050

(36/56)

Joe Mahaffy, $\langle \texttt{mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu} \rangle$	Solutions of Equations in One Variable	— (33/56)	Joe Mahaffy, <code>(mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu)</code>	Solutions of Equations in One Variable	— (34/56
Root Finding Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis	Newton's Method The Secant Method The Regula Falsi Method Quick Summary		Root Finding Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis	Newton's Method The Secant Method The Regula Falsi Method Quick Summary	
Algorithm — Regula Falsi			Summary — Next Iterate		

Method

Bisection

Regula Falsi

Secant

Newton

Algorithm: Regula Falsi

- Input: Initial approximations p_0 , p_1 ; tolerance *TOL*; maximum number of iterations N_0 . Output: Approximate solution p, or failure message. 1. Set i = 2, $q_0 = f(p_0)$, $q_1 = f(p_1)$
- 2. While $i \le N_0$ do 3--7
- 3. Set $p = p_1 q_1(p_1 p_0)/(q_1 q_0)$
- 4. If $|p p_1| < TOL$ then
- 4a. output *p*
- 4b. stop program
- 5. Set i = i + 1, q = f(p)

6. If
$$q \cdot q_1 < 0$$
 then set $p_0 = p_1$, $q_0 = q_1$

- 7. Set $p_1 = p$, $q_1 = q$
- 8. Output: "Failure after N_0 iterations."

)50

if $f(c_{n+1})f(b_n) < 0$, then $\{a_{n+1} = m_{n+1}, b_{n+1} = b_n\}$,

if $f(s_{n+1})f(b_n) < 0$, then $\{a_{n+1} = s_{n+1}, b_{n+1} = b_n\}$,

Newton's Method The Secant Method The Regula Falsi Method Quick Summary

Method	Convergence	
Bisection	Linear — Slow, each iteration gives 1 binary digit. We need about 3.3 iterations to gain one decimal digit	
Regula Falsi	Linear — Faster than Bisection (ideally).	
Secant	Linear/Superlinear? — Slower than Newton. Generally faster than Regula Falsi. Burden-Faires says convergence rate is $\alpha \approx 1.62$. After some digging around, I found a proof that the convergence rate should be $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, given sufficient smoothness of f , and $f'(x^*) \neq 0$.	
Newton	Quadratic. — In general. The fastest of the lot, when it works.	

Newton's Method The Secant Method The Regula Falsi Method Quick Summary

Summary — Cost

Method	Cost	
Bisection	Each iteration is cheap — one function evaluation, one or two multiplications and one or two comparisons. Comparable to Regula Falsi.	
Regula Falsi	Higher cost per iteration compared with Secant (conditional state- ments), Requires more iterations then Secant.	
	Higher cost per iteration compared with Bisection, but requires fewer iterations.	
Secant	Cheaper than Newton's Method – no need to compute f'(x).	
	Slightly cheaper per iteration than Regula Falsi.	
Newton	"Expensive" — We need to compute $f'(x)$ in every iteration.	

	SDSC	SDSU
Joe Mahafi	fy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) Solutions of Equations in One Variable - (37/56)	Joe Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) Solutions of Equations in One Variable
Improved	Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis Root Sinding Characteristic Structure Error Analysis Root Sinding Characteristic Structure Error Analysis Root Sinding Characteristic Structure Structure Error Analysis Root Sinding Characteristic Structure Error Sinding Characteristic Structure Error Structure Error Analysis Root Sinding Characteristic Structure Error Structure Er	Root Finding Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis Newton's Method The Secant Method The Regula Falsi Method Quick Summary
ummary — Com	nments	Newton's Method and Friends — Things to Ponder
Method	Comments	
Bisection	Can be used to find a good starting interval for Newton's method (if/when we have a problem finding a good starting point for Newton).	How to start
Regula Falsi	The combination of the Secant method and the Bisection method. All generated intervals bracket root (i.e. we carry a "built-in" error estimate at all times.)	 How to update Can the scheme break?
Secant	Breaks down if $f(x_n) = f(x_{n-1})$ [division by zero]. Unknown basin of attraction (c.f. Newton's method).	 → Can we fix breakage? (How???) • Relation to Fixed-Point Iteration
Newton	If $f'(x_k) = 0$ we're in trouble. Works best when $ f'(x) \ge k > 0$. Iterates do not bracket root. Unknown basin of attraction (How do we find a good starting point?). In practice: Pick a starting point x_0 , iterate. It will very quickly become clear whether we will converge to a solution, or diverge	In the next section we will discuss the convergence in more detail.

SDSU

— (39/56)

Joe Mahaffy, $\langle {\tt mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu} \rangle$ Solutions of Equations in One Variable SDSU

— (40/56)

Root Finding Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis

look at some basics...

Convergence Practial Application of the Theorems Roots of Higher Multiplicity

Introduction: Error Analysis

In the previous section we discussed four different algorithms for finding the root of f(x) = 0.

We made some (sometime vague) arguments for why one method would be faster than another...

Now, we are going to look at the error analysis of iterative methods, and we will quantify the speed of our methods.

Note: The discussion may be a little "dry," but do not despair! In the "old days" before fancy-schmancy computers were commonplace is was almost true that numerical analysis ext{ error analysis, here we only}

SDSU

Root Finding Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error <u>Analysis</u> Convergence Practial Application of the Theorems Roots of Higher Multiplicity

SDSU

(44/56)

Definition of Convergence for a Sequence

Definition

Suppose the sequence $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to p, with $p_n \neq p$ for all n. If positive constants λ and α exists with

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|p_{n+1} - p|}{|p_n - p|^{\alpha}} = \lambda$$

then $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to p of order α , with asymptotic error constant λ .

An iterative technique of the form $p_n = g(p_{n-1})$ is said to be of **order** α if the sequence $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to the solution p = g(p) of order α .

Bottom line: High order $(\alpha) \Rightarrow$ Faster convergence (more desirable). λ has an effect, but is less important than the order.

Joe Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) Solutions of Equations in One Variable Joe Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) Solutions of Equations in One Variable — (41/56) · (42/56) Root Finding Convergence Root Finding Convergence Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Practial Application of the Theorems Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Practial Application of the Theorems Roots of Higher Multiplicity Roots of Higher Multiplicity Error Analysis Error Analysis Special Cases: $\alpha = 1$, and $\alpha = 2$ Linear vs. Quadratic 1 of 2 When $\alpha = 1$ the sequence is **linearly convergent**. Suppose we have two sequences converging to zero: When $\alpha = 2$ the sequence is **quadratically convergent.** $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|p_{n+1}|}{|p_n|} = \lambda_p, \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|q_{n+1}|}{|q_n|^2} = \lambda_q$ When $\alpha < 1$ the sequence is **sub-linearly convergent** (very undesirable, or "painfully slow.") Roughly this means that $|p_n| \approx \lambda_p |p_{n-1}| \approx \lambda_n^n |p_0|, \qquad |q_n| \approx \lambda_a |q_{n-1}|^2 \approx \lambda_a^{2^n-1} |q_0|^{2^n}$ When (($\alpha = 1$ and $\lambda = 0$) or $1 < \alpha < 2$), the sequence is super-linearly convergent.

SDSU

· (43/56)

Convergence Practial Application of the Theorems Roots of Higher Multiplicity

Linear vs. Quadratic

Now, assume $\lambda_p = \lambda_q = 0.9$ and $p_0 = q_0 = 1$, we get the following

n	<i>p</i> _n	q_n
0	1	1
1	0.9	0.9
2	0.81	0.729
3	0.729	0.4782969
4	0.6561	0.205891132094649
5	0.59049	0.0381520424476946
6	0.531441	0.00131002050863762
7	0.4782969	0.00000154453835975
8	0.43046721	0.0000000000021470

Table (Linear vs. Quadratic): A dramatic difference! After 8 iterations, q_n has 11 correct decimals, and p_n still none. q_n roughly doubles the number of correct digits in every iteration. Here p_n needs more than 20 iterations/digit-of-correction.

2 of 2

Convergence of General Fixed Point Iteration

Theorem

Let $g \in C[a, b]$ be such that $g(x) \in [a, b]$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. Suppose, in addition that g'(x) is continuous on (a, b) and there is a positive constant k < 1 so that

 $|g'(k)| \leq k, \quad \forall x \in (a, b)$

If $\mathbf{g}'(\mathbf{p}^*) \neq \mathbf{0}$, then for any number p_0 in [a, b], the sequence

 $p_n = g(p_{n-1}), \quad n \geq 1$

converges only linearly to the unique fixed point p^* in [a, b].

In a sense, this is bad news since we like fast convergence...

Joe Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) Solutions of Equations in One Variable	Joe Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) Solutions of Equations in One Variable — (46/56)
Root FindingConvergenceImproved Algorithms for Root FindingPractial Application of the TheoremsError AnalysisRoots of Higher Multiplicity	Root Finding Convergence Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Practial Application of the Theorems Error Analysis Roots of Higher Multiplicity
Convergence of General Fixed Point Iteration Proof	Speeding up Convergence of Fixed Point Iteration
The existence and uniqueness of the fixed point follows from the fixed point theorem. We use the mean value theorem to write	Bottom Line: The theorem tells us that if we are looking to design rapidly converging fixed point schemes, we must design them so that $g'(p^*) = 0$
$p_{n+1} - p^* = g(p_n) - g(p^*) = g'(\xi_n)(p_n - p^*), \xi_n \in (p_n, p^*)$	We state the following without proof:
Since $p_n \to p^*$ and ξ_n is between p_n and p^* , we must also have $\xi_n \to p^*$. Further, since $g'(\cdot)$ is continuous, we have $\lim g'(\xi_n) = g'(p^*)$	Theorem Let p^* be a solution of $p = g(p)$. Suppose $g'(p^*) = 0$, and $g''(x)$ is continuous and strictly bounded by M on an open interval I
Thus, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{ p_{n+1} - p^* }{ p_n - p^* } = \lim_{n \to \infty} g'(\xi_n) = g'(p^*) $	containing p^* . Then there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that, for $p_0 \in [p^* - \delta, p^* + \delta]$ the sequence defined by $p_n = g(p_{n-1})$ converges at least quadratically to p^* . Moreover, for sufficiently large n
So if $g'(p^*) \neq 0$, the fixed point iteration converges linearly with	$ p_{n+1} - p^* < rac{M}{2} p_n - p^* ^2$

SDSU

(47/56)

 $(p) \neq 0$, the fixed point iteration converge asymptotic error constant $|g'(p^*)|$.

Root Finding Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis Practial Application of the Theorems Roots of Higher Multiplicity

Practical Application of the Theorems

The theorems tell us:

"Look for quadratically convergent fixed point methods among functions whose derivative is zero at the fixed point."

We want to solve: f(x) = 0 using fixed point iteration. We write the problem as an equivalent fixed point problem:

g(x) = x - f(x)	Solve: $x = g(x)$	
$g(x) = x - \alpha f(x)$	Solve: $x = g(x)$	α a constant
$g(x) = x - \Phi(x)f(x)$	Solve: $x = g(x)$	$\Phi(x)$ differentiable

We use the most general form (the last one).

Remember, we want $g'(p^*) = 0$ when $f(p^*) = 0$.

Root Finding Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis

Practial Application of the Theorems Roots of Higher Multiplicity

Practical Application of the Theorems... Newton's Method Rediscovered

$$g'(x) = \frac{d}{dx} [x - \Phi(x)f(x)] = 1 - \Phi'(x)f(x) - \Phi(x)f'(x)$$

at $x = p^*$ we have $f(p^*) = 0$, so

$$g'(p^*) = 1 - \Phi(p^*)f'(p^*).$$

For quadratic convergence we want this to be zero, that's true if

$$\Phi(p^*) = \frac{1}{f'(p^*)}.$$

Hence, our scheme is

 $x \neq p$ we can write

 $g(x) = x - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)},$

Newton's Method, rediscovered!

```
SDSU
```

(50/56)

1 of 2

Joe Mahaffy, $\langle \texttt{mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu} \rangle$	Solutions of Equations in One Variable	— (49/56)	Joe Mahaffy, $\langle \texttt{mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu} \rangle$	Solutions of Equations in One Variable
Root Finding Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis	Convergence Practial Application of the Theorems Roots of Higher Multiplicity		Root Finding Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis	Convergence Practial Application of the Theorems Roots of Higher Multiplicity
n's Method			Multiplicity of Zeroes	

Definition: Multiplicity of a Root A solution p^* of f(x) = 0 is a zero of multiplicity m of f if for

- We have "discovered" Newton's method in two scenarios:
- From formal Taylor expansion. 1.

Newto

From convergence optimization of Fixed point iteration. 2.

It is clear that we would like to use Newton's method in many settings. One major problem is that it breaks when $f'(p^*) = 0$ (division by zero).

The good news is that this problem can be fixed!

— We need a short discussion on the **multiplicity of zeroes**.

Basically, q(x) is the part of f(x) which does not contribute to the zero of f(x).

 $f(x) = (x - p^*)^m q(x), \quad \lim_{x \to p^*} q(x) \neq 0$

If m = 1 then we say that f(x) has a simple zero.

Theorem

 $f \in C^1[a, b]$ has a simple zero at p^* in (a, b) if and only if $f(p^*) = 0$, but $f'(p^*) \neq 0$.

SDSU

- (51/56)

Root Finding Convergence Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Practial Application of the Theorems Error Analysis Roots of Higher Multiplicity	Root Finding Convergence Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Practial Application of the Theorems Error Analysis Roots of Higher Multiplicity
Multiplicity of Zeroes 2 of 2	Newton's Method for Zeroes of Higher Multiplicity 1 of 3
Theorem (Multiplicity and Derivatives) The function $f \in C^m[a, b]$ has a zero of multiplicity m at p^* in (a, b) if and only if $0 = f(p^*) = f'(p^*) = \cdots f^{(m-1)}(p^*), but f^{(m)}(p^*) \neq 0.$ We know that Newton's method runs into trouble when we have a zero of multiplicity higher than 1.	Suppose $f(x)$ has a zero of multiplicity $m > 1$ at p^* Define the new function $\mu(x) = \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)}.$ We can write $f(x) = (x - p^*)^m q(x)$, hence $\mu(x) = \frac{(x - p^*)^m q(x)}{m(x - p^*)^{m-1}q(x) + (x - p^*)^m q'(x)}$ $= (x - p^*) \frac{q(x)}{mq(x) + (x - p^*)q'(x)}$ This expression has a simple zero at p^* , since $q(p^*) = \frac{1}{p} < 0$
SOSO	$\frac{1}{mq(p^*) + (p^* - p^*)q'(p^*)} = \frac{1}{m} \neq 0$
Joe Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) Solutions of Equations in One Variable — (53/56)	Joe Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu) Solutions of Equations in One Variable — (54/56)
Root Finding Convergence Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Practial Application of the Theorems Error Analysis Roots of Higher Multiplicity	Root Finding Improved Algorithms for Root Finding Error Analysis Roots of Higher Multiplicity
Newton's Method for Zeroes of Higher Multiplicity 2 of 3	Newton's Method for Zeroes of Higher Multiplicity 3 of 3
Now we apply Newton's method to $\mu(x)$: $x = g(x) = x - \frac{\mu(x)}{\mu'(x)}$ $= x - \frac{\frac{f(x)}{f'(x)}}{\frac{[f'(x)]^2 - f(x)f''(x)}{[f'(x)]^2}}$ $= x - \frac{f(x)f'(x)}{[f'(x)]^2 - f(x)f''(x)}$ This iteration will converge quadratically!	Strategy: "Fixed Newton" (for Zeroes of Multiplicity ≥ 2) $x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)f'(x_n)}{[f'(x_n)]^2 - f(x_n)f''(x_n)}$ Drawbacks: We have to compute $f''(x)$ — more expensive and possibly another source of numerical and/or measurement errors. We have to compute a more complicated expression in each iteration — more expensive. Roundoff errors in the denominator — both $f'(x)$ and $f(x)$ approach zero, so we are computing the difference between two small numbers; a serious cancelation risk.