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Numerical Differentiation and Integration: Quadrature - p. 1/44

## Recall: Simpson's Rule

$$
\int_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{d x}=\mathbf{h}\left[\frac{\mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}\right)+4 \mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right)+\mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right)}{3}\right]-\frac{\mathbf{h}^{5}}{90} \mathbf{f}^{(4)}(\xi)
$$

Last time: we worked hard (or did we just rely on Newton's hard work?) to find very accurate integration schemes; i.e. the NewtonCotes formulas.

This time: Instead of working so hard - we use a low-order Newton-Cotes formula, but divide the integration interval into smaller sub-intervals.

We use the sum of the integrations over the separate subintervals as the approximation to the whole integral.
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Divide and Conquer with Simpson's Rule
The exact solution:

$$
\int_{0}^{4} e^{x} d x=e^{4}-e^{0}=53.59815
$$

Simpson's Rule with $h=2$

$$
\int_{0}^{4} e^{x} d x \approx \frac{2}{3}\left(e^{0}+4 e^{2}+e^{4}\right)=56.76958 .
$$

The error is $\mathbf{- 3 . 1 7 1 4 3}(5.92 \%)$.
Divide-and-Conquer: Simpson's Rule with $h=1$
$\int_{0}^{2} e^{x} d x+\int_{2}^{4} e^{x} d x \approx \frac{1}{3}\left(e^{0}+4 e^{1}+e^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(e^{2}+4 e^{3}+e^{4}\right)=53.86385$
The error is -0.26570 . $0.50 \%$ ) An improvement by a factor of 10 !

The exact solution:

$$
\int_{0}^{4} e^{x} d x=e^{4}-e^{0}=53.59815
$$

Divide-and-Conquer: Simpson's Rule with $h=1 / 2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1}+\int_{1}^{2}+\int_{2}^{3}+\int_{3}^{4} e^{x} d x \approx \frac{1}{6}\left(e^{0}+4 e^{1 / 2}+e^{1}\right)+\frac{1}{6}\left(e^{1}+4 e^{3 / 2}+e^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{6}\left(e^{2}+4 e^{5 / 2}+e^{3}\right)+\frac{1}{6}\left(e^{3}+4 e^{7 / 2}+e^{4}\right)=53.61622
\end{aligned}
$$

The error has been reduced to $-0.01807(0.034 \%)$.

| $h$ | abs-error | $\mathrm{err} / h$ | $\mathrm{err} / h^{2}$ | $\mathrm{err} / h^{3}$ | $\mathrm{err} / h^{4}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 3.17143 | 1.585715 | 0.792857 | 0.396429 | 0.198214 |
| 1 | 0.26570 | 0.265700 | 0.265700 | 0.265700 | 0.265700 |
| $1 / 2$ | 0.01807 | 0.036140 | 0.072280 | 0.144560 | 0.289120 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\frac{h}{3}\left[f\left(x_{0}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n / 2}\left[4 f\left(x_{2 j-1}\right)+2 f\left(x_{2 j}\right)\right]\right] \\
& \quad-\frac{h^{5}}{90} \sum_{j=1}^{n / 2} f^{(4)}\left(\xi_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The error term is:

$$
E(f)=-\frac{h^{5}}{90} \sum_{j=1}^{n / 2} f^{(4)}\left(\xi_{j}\right)
$$

If $f \in C^{4}[a, b]$, the Extreme Value Theorem implies that $f^{(4)}$ assumes its max and min in $[a, b]$. Now, since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min _{x \in[a, b]} f^{(4)}(x) \leq f^{(4)}\left(\xi_{j}\right) \leq \max _{x \in[a, b]} f^{(4)}(x), \\
{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right] \min _{x \in[a, b]} f^{(4)}(x) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n / 2} f^{(4)}\left(\xi_{j}\right) \leq\left[\frac{n}{2}\right] \max _{x \in[a, b]} f^{(4)}(x),} \\
\min _{x \in[a, b]} f^{(4)}(x) \leq\left[\frac{2}{n}\right] \sum_{j=1}^{n / 2} f^{(4)}\left(\xi_{j}\right) \leq \max _{x \in[a, b]} f^{(4)}(x),
\end{gathered}
$$

By the Intermediate Value Theorem $\exists \mu \in(a, b)$ so that

$$
f^{(4)}(\mu)=\frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n / 2} f^{(4)}\left(\xi_{j}\right) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{n}{2} f^{(4)}(\mu)=\sum_{j=1}^{n / 2} f^{(4)}\left(\xi_{j}\right)
$$

We can now rewrite the error term:

$$
E(f)=-\frac{h^{5}}{90} \sum_{j=1}^{n / 2} f^{(4)}\left(\xi_{j}\right)=-\frac{h^{5}}{180} n f^{(4)}(\mu)
$$

or, since $h=(b-a) / n \Leftrightarrow n=(b-a) / h$, we can write

$$
E(f)=-\frac{(b-a)}{180} \mathbf{h}^{4} \mathbf{f}^{(4)}(\mu)
$$

Hence Composite Simpson's Rule has degree of accuracy 3 (since it is exact for polynomials up to order 3), and the error is proportional to $\mathbf{h}^{4}$ - Convergence Rate $\mathcal{O}\left(h^{4}\right)$.

Composite Simpson's Rule - Summary

## Theorem: -

Let $f \in C^{4}[a, b], n$ be even, $h=(b-a) / n$, and $x_{j}=a+j h$, $j=0,1, \ldots, n$. There exists $\mu \in(a, b)$ for which the Composite Simpson's Rule for $n$ subintervals can be written with its error term as
$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\frac{h}{3}\left[f(a)-f(b)+\sum_{j=1}^{n / 2}\left[4 f\left(x_{2 j-1}\right)+2 f\left(x_{2 j}\right)\right]\right]$

$$
-\frac{(b-a)}{180} h^{4} f^{(4)}(\mu)
$$

Note: $\quad x_{0}=a$, and $x_{n}=b$.

Composite Simpson's Rule - Algorithm
Given the end points $a$ and $b$ and an even positive integer $n$ :
[1] $\quad h=(b-a) / n$
[2] ENDPTS $=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{a})+\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{b})$
ODDPTS = 0
EVENPTS $=0$
[3] FOR $i=1, \ldots, n-1 \quad$ - (interior points)

$$
x=a+i * h
$$

$$
\text { if } i \text { is even: EVENPTS }+=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})
$$

$$
\text { if } i \text { is odd: ODDPTS }+=f(x)
$$

END
[4] INTAPPROX $=h *(E N D P T S+2 * E V E N P T S+4 * O D D P T S) / 3$

Romberg Integration is the combination of the Composite Trapezoidal Rule (CTR)

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\frac{h}{2}\left[f(a)+f(b)+2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} f\left(x_{j}\right)\right]-\frac{(b-a)}{12} h^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(\mu)
$$

and Richardson Extrapolation.
Here, we know that the error term for regular Trapezoidal Rule is $\mathcal{O}\left(h^{3}\right)$. By the same argument as for Composite Simpson's Rule, this gets reduced to $\mathcal{O}\left(\mathrm{h}^{2}\right)$ for the composite version.

Let $R_{k, 1}$ denote the Composite Trapezoidal Rule with $2^{k-1}$ subintervals, and $h_{k}=(b-a) / 2^{k-1}$. We get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1,1} & =\frac{h_{1}}{2}[f(a)+f(b)] \\
R_{2,1} & =\frac{h_{2}}{2}\left[f(a)+2 f\left(a+h_{2}\right)+f(b)\right] \\
& =\frac{(b-a)}{4}\left[f(a)+f(b)+2 f\left(a+h_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[R_{1,1}+h_{1} f\left(a+h_{2}\right)\right] \\
& \vdots \\
R_{k, 1} & =\underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\left[R_{k-1,1}+h_{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{k-2}} f\left(a+(2 i-1) h_{k}\right)\right]}_{\text {Update formula, using previous value + new points }}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\underline{\text { Example: } R_{k, 1} \text { for } \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin (x) d x}$


| $k$ | $R_{k, 1}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 1.5707963267949 |
| 3 | 1.8961188979370 |
| 4 | 1.9742316019455 |
| 5 | 1.9935703437723 |
| 6 | 1.9983933609701 |
| 7 | 1.9995983886400 |

Extrapolate using Richardson
We know that the error term is $\mathcal{O}\left(h^{2}\right)$, so in order to eliminate this term we combine to consecutive entries $R_{k-1,1}$ and $R_{k, 1}$ to form a higher order approximation $R_{k, 2}$ of the integral.

$$
\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}=\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{k}, 1}+\frac{\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{k}, 1}-\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{k}-1,1}}{2^{2}-1}
$$

| $R_{k, 1}-\mathcal{O}\left(h^{2}\right)$ | $R_{k, 2}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| 1.5707963267949 | 2.09439510239 |
| 1.8961188979370 | 2.00455975498 |
| 1.9742316019455 | 2.00026916994 |
| 1.9935703437723 | 2.00001659104 |
| 1.9983933609701 | 2.00000103336 |
| 1.9995983886400 | 2.00000006453 |

It turns out (Taylor expand to check) that the complete error term for the Trapezoidal rule only has even powers of $h$ :

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x)=R_{k, 1}-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{2 i} h_{k}^{2 i}
$$

Hence the $R_{k, 2}$ approximations have error terms that are of size $\mathcal{O}\left(\mathrm{h}^{4}\right)$.

To get $\mathcal{O}\left(h^{6}\right)$ approximations, we compute

$$
\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{k}, 3}=\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}+\frac{\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}-\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{k}-1,2}}{4^{2}-\mathbf{1}}
$$

In general, since we only have even powers of $h$ in the error expansion:

$$
\mathbf{R}_{k, j}=\mathbf{R}_{k, j-1}+\frac{\mathbf{R}_{k, j-1}-R_{k-1, j-1}}{4^{j-1}-1}
$$

Revisiting $\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin (x) d x$ :

| $R_{k, 1}-\mathcal{O}\left(h^{2}\right)$ | $R_{k, 2}-\mathcal{O}\left(h^{4}\right)$ | $R_{k, 3}-\mathcal{O}\left(h^{6}\right)$ | $R_{k, 4}-\mathcal{O}\left(h^{8}\right)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 |  |  |  |
| 1.570796326794897 | 2.094395102393195 |  |  |
| 1.896118897937040 | 2.004559754984421 | 1.998570731823836 |  |
| 1.974231601945551 | 2.000269169948388 | 1.999983130945986 | 2.000005549979671 |
| 1.993570343772340 | 2.000016591047935 | 1.999999752454572 | 2.000000016288042 |
| 1.998393360970145 | 2.000001033369413 | 1.999999996190845 | 2.000000000059674 |
| 1.999598388640037 | 2.000000064530001 | 1.999999999940707 | 2.000000000000229 |

```
Homework? No, enough already - Here's the code outline!
\% Romberg Integration for \(\sin (x)\) over [0,pi]
\(\mathrm{a}=0 ; \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{pi} ; \%\) The Endpoints
\(\mathrm{R}=\operatorname{zeros}(7,7)\);
\(\mathrm{R}(1,1)=(b-a) / 2 *(\sin (a)+\sin (b)) ;\)
for \(k=2: 7\)
    \(\mathrm{h}=(b-a) / 2^{(k-1)} ;\)
    \(\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{k}, 1)=1 / 2 *\left(R(k-1,1)+2 * h * \sum\left(\sin \left(a+\left(2 *\left(1:\left(2^{(k-2)}\right)\right)-1\right) * h\right)\right)\right) ;\)
end
for \(j=2: 7\)
    for \(k=j: 7\)
        \(\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{j})=R(k, j-1)+(R(k, j-1)-R(k-1, j-1)) /\left(4^{(j-1)}-1\right) ;\)
    end
end
disp(R)
```

The composite formulas require equally spaced nodes.
This is not good if the function we are trying to integrate has both regions with large fluctuations, and regions with small variations.


We need many points where the function fluctuates, but few points where it is close to constant or linear.
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## Notation - "One-step" Simpson's Rule:

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=S(f ; a, b)-\underbrace{\frac{h_{1}^{5}}{90} f^{(4)}\left(\mu_{1}\right)}_{\mathbf{E}\left(\mathbf{f} ; \mathbf{h}_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)}, \quad \mu_{1} \in(a, b)
$$

where

$$
S(f ; a, b)=\frac{(b-a)}{6}\left[f(a)+4 f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+f(b)\right], \quad h_{1}=\frac{(b-a)}{2}
$$

Idea: Cleverly predict (or measure) the amount of variation and automatically add more points where needed.

We are going to discuss this in the context of Composite Simpson's rule, but the approach can be adopted for other integration schemes.

First we are going to develop a way to measure the error a numerical estimate of the actual error in the numerical integration. Note that here just knowing the structure of the error term is not enough! (We will however use the structure of the error term in our derivation of the numerical error estimate.)
Then we will use the error estimate to decide whether to accept the value from CSR, or if we need to refine further (recompute with smaller $h$ ).

Composite Simpson's Rule (CSR)
With this notation, we can write CSR with $n=4$, and $h_{2}=(b-$ a) $/ 4=h_{1} / 2$ :

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=S\left(f ; a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right)+S\left(f ; \frac{a+b}{2}, b\right)-E\left(f ; h_{2}, \mu_{2}\right)
$$

We can squeeze out an estimate for the error by noticing that

$$
E\left(f ; h_{2}, \mu_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{16}\left(\frac{h_{1}^{5}}{90} f^{(4)}\left(\mu_{2}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{16} E\left(f ; h_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)
$$

Now, assuming $f^{(4)}\left(\mu_{1}\right) \approx f^{(4)}\left(\mu_{2}\right)$, we do a little bit of algebra magic with our two approximations to the integral...

$$
E\left(f ; h_{2}, \mu_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{32}\left(\frac{h_{1}^{5}}{90} f^{(4)}\left(\mu_{2}^{1}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{32}\left(\frac{h_{1}^{5}}{90} f^{(4)}\left(\mu_{2}^{2}\right)\right)
$$

where $\mu_{2}^{1} \in\left[a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right], \mu_{2}^{2} \in\left[\frac{a+b}{2}, b\right]$.
If $f \in C^{4}[a, b]$, then we can use our old friend, the intermediate value theorem:

$$
\exists \mu_{2} \in\left[\mu_{2}^{1}, \mu_{2}^{2}\right] \subset[a, b]: f^{(4)}\left(\mu_{2}\right)=\frac{f^{(4)}\left(\mu_{2}^{1}\right)+f^{(4)}\left(\mu_{2}^{2}\right)}{2}
$$

## So it follows that

$$
E\left(f ; h_{2}, \mu_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{16}\left(\frac{h_{1}^{5}}{90} f^{(4)}\left(\mu_{2}\right)\right)
$$

## Now we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S\left(f ; a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right)+S\left(f ; \frac{a+b}{2}, b\right)-\frac{1}{16}\left(\frac{h_{1}^{5}}{90} f^{(4)}\left(\mu_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=S(f ; a, b)-\frac{h_{1}^{5}}{90} f^{(4)}\left(\mu_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now use the assumption $f^{(4)}\left(\mu_{1}\right) \approx f^{(4)}\left(\mu_{2}\right)$ (and replace $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ by $\mu$ ):
$\frac{\mathbf{h}_{1}^{5}}{\mathbf{9 0}} \mathbf{f}^{(4)}(\mu) \approx \frac{16}{15}[S(f ; a, b)-S(f ; a,(a+b) / 2)-S(f ;(a+b) / 2, b)]$,
notice that $\frac{h_{1}^{5}}{90} f^{(4)}(\mu)=E\left(f ; h_{1}, \mu\right)=16 E\left(f ; h_{2}, \mu\right)$. Hence
$E\left(f ; h_{2}, \mu\right) \approx \frac{1}{15}[S(f ; a, b)-S(f ; a,(a+b) / 2)-S(f ;(a+b) / 2, b)]$,

We will apply Simpson's rule to

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \sin (x) d x=1
$$

Here,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{S}_{1}(\sin (x) ; 0, \pi / 2)=S(\sin (x) ; 0, \pi / 2) \\
& \quad=\frac{\pi}{12}[\sin (0)+4 \sin (\pi / 4)+\sin (\pi / 2)]=\frac{\pi}{12}[2 \sqrt{2}+1] \\
& \quad=1.00227987749221
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{S}_{2}(\sin (x) ; 0, \pi / 2)=S(\sin (x) ; 0, \pi / 4)+S(\sin (x) ; \pi / 4, \pi / 2) \\
& \quad=\frac{\pi}{24}[\sin (0)+4 \sin (\pi / 8)+2 \sin (\pi / 4)+4 \sin (3 \pi / 8)+\sin (\pi / 2)] \\
& \quad=1.00013458497419 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The error estimate is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{15}\left[\mathbb{S}_{1}(\sin (x) ; 0, \pi / 2)-\mathbb{S}_{2}(\sin (x) ; 0, \pi / 2)\right] \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{15}[1.00227987749221-1.00013458497419]=0.00014301950120
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a very good approximation of the actual error, which is 0.00013458497419 .

OK, we know how to get an error estimate. How do we use this to create an adaptive integration scheme???

We want to approximate $\mathcal{I}=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x$ with an error less than $\epsilon$ (a specified tolerance).
[1] Compute the two approximations
$\mathbb{S}_{1}(f(x) ; a, b)=S(f(x) ; a, b)$, and
$\mathbb{S}_{2}(f(x) ; a, b)=S\left(f(x) ; a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right)+S\left(f(x) ; \frac{a+b}{2}, b\right)$.
[2] Estimate the error, if the estimate is less than $\epsilon$, we are done. Otherwise...
[3] Apply steps [1] and [2] recursively to the intervals
$\left[a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right]$ and $\left[\frac{a+b}{2}, b\right]$ with tolerance $\epsilon / 2$.

Adaptive Quadrature, Interval Refinement Example \#2


Figure: Application of adaptive CSR to the function $f(x)=1-$ $\sqrt[3]{\left(x-\frac{\pi}{2 e}\right)^{2}}$. Here, we have required that the estimated error be less than $10^{-6}$. The left panel shows the function, and the right panel shows the number of refinement levels needed to reach the desired accuracy. At completion we have the value of the integral being 0.61692712 , with an estimated error of $3.93 \cdot 10^{-7}$.

Idea: Evaluate the function at a set of optimally chosen points in the interval.

We will choose $\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \in[a, b]$ and coefficients $c_{i}$, so that the approximation

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \approx \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

is exact for the largest class of polynomials possible.

We have already seen that the open Newton-Cotes formulas sometime give us better "bang-for-buck" than the closed formulas (e.g. the midpoint formula uses only 1 point and is as accurate as the two-point trapezoidal rule). - Gaussian quadrature takes this one step further.

|  | Newton-Cotes |  | Gaussian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Open | Closed |  |
| Quadrature <br> Points | Degree of <br> Accuracy | Degree of <br> Accuracy | Degree of <br> Accuracy |
| 1 | $1^{*}$ | - | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 3 | 3 | $3^{\#}$ | 5 |
| 4 | 3 | 3 | 7 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 9 |

*     - The mid-point rule.
\# - Simpson's rule.
The mid-point rule is the only optimal scheme we have see so far.

Gaussian Quadrature - Example
Suppose we want to find an optimal two-point formula:

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) d x=c_{1} f\left(x_{1}\right)+c_{2} f\left(x_{2}\right)
$$

Since we have 4 parameters to play with, we can generate a formula that is exact up to polynomials of degree 3. We get the following 4 equations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll||l}
\int_{-1}^{1} 1 d x & =2=c_{1}+c_{2} & c_{1}=1 \\
\int_{-1}^{1} x d x & =0=c_{1} x_{1}+c_{2} x_{2} & c_{2}=1 \\
c_{-1}^{1} x^{2} d x=\frac{2}{3}=c_{1} x_{1}^{2}+c_{2} x_{2}^{2} & x_{1}=-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \\
\int_{-1}^{1} x^{3} d x=0=c_{1} x_{1}^{3}+c_{2} x_{2}^{3} & x_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}
\end{array}
$$

Higher Order Gaussian Quadrature Formulas
We could obtain higher order formulas by adding more points, computing the integrals, and solving the resulting non-linear system of equations... but it gets very painful, very fast.

The Legendre Polynomials come to our rescue!
The Legendre polynomials $P_{n}(x)$ are orthogonal on $[-1,1]$ with respect to the weight function $w(x)=1$, i.e.

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} P_{n}(x) P_{m}(x) d x=\alpha_{n} \delta_{n, m}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & m \neq n \\
\alpha_{n} & m=n
\end{array} .\right.
$$

If $P(x)$ is a polynomial of degree less than $n$, then

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} P_{n}(x) P(x) d x=0
$$

We will see Legendre polynomials in more detail later. For now, all we need to know is that they satisfy the property

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} P_{n}(x) P_{m}(x) d x=\alpha_{n} \delta_{n, m}
$$

and the first few Legendre polynomials are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{0}(x)=1 \\
& P_{1}(x)=x \\
& P_{2}(x)=x^{2}-1 / 3 \\
& P_{3}(x)=x^{3}-3 x / 5 \\
& P_{4}(x)=x^{4}-6 x^{2} / 7+3 / 35 \\
& P_{5}(x)=x^{5}-10 x^{3} / 9+5 x / 21 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It turns out that the roots of the Legendre polynomials are the nodes in Gaussian quadrature.

Let us first consider a polynomial, $P(x)$ with degree less than $n . ~ P(x)$ can be rewritten as an ( $n-1$ )-st Lagrange polynomial with nodes at the roots of the $n^{\text {th }}$ Legendre polynomial $P_{n}(x)$. This representation is exact since the error term involves the $n^{\text {th }}$ derivative of $P(x)$, which is zero. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-1}^{1} P(x) d x=\int_{-1}^{1}\left[\sum_{\substack{i=1}}^{n} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{n} \frac{x-x_{j}}{x_{i}-x_{j}} P\left(x_{j}\right)\right] d x \\
& \quad=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{\mathrm{x}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}}{\mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{i}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}} \mathrm{dx}\right] P\left(x_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{c}_{\mathbf{i}} P\left(x_{i}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which verifies the result for polynomials of degree less than $n$.

Theorem: - Suppose that $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ are the roots of the $n^{\text {th }}$ Legendre polynomial $P_{n}(x)$ and that for each $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, the coefficients $c_{i}$ are defined by

$$
c_{i}=\int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \frac{x-x_{j}}{x_{i}-x_{j}} d x .
$$

If $P(x)$ is any polynomial of degree less than $2 n$, then

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} P(x) d x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} P\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

If the polynomial $P(x)$ of degree $[n, 2 n)$ is divided by the $n^{\text {th }}$ Legendre polynomial $P_{n}(x)$, we get:

$$
P(x)=Q(x) P_{n}(x)+R(x)
$$

where both $Q(x)$ and $R(x)$ are of degree less than $n$.
[1] Since $\operatorname{deg}(Q(x))<n$

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} Q(x) P_{n}(x) d x=0
$$

[2] Further, since $x_{i}$ is a root of $P_{n}(x)$ :

$$
P\left(x_{i}\right)=Q\left(x_{i}\right) P_{n}\left(x_{i}\right)+R\left(x_{i}\right)=R\left(x_{i}\right) .
$$

[3] Now, since $\operatorname{deg}(R(x))<n$, the first part of the proof implies

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} R(x) d x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} R\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

Putting [1], [2] and [3] together we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-1}^{1} P(x) d x=\int_{-1}^{1}\left[Q(x) P_{n}(x)+R(x)\right] d x \\
& \quad=\int_{-1}^{1} R(x) d x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} R\left(x_{i}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} P\left(x_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that the formula is exact for all polynomials $P(x)$ of degree less than $2 n$. $\square$

| Degree | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})$ | Roots / Quadrature points |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | $x^{2}-1 / 3$ | $\{-1 / \sqrt{3}, 1 / \sqrt{3}\}$ |
| 3 | $x^{3}-3 x / 5$ | $\{-\sqrt{3 / 5}, 0, \sqrt{3 / 5}\}$ |
| 4 | $x^{4}-6 x^{2} / 7+3 / 35$ | $\{-0.86114$, |

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi / 4}(\cos (\mathrm{x}))^{2} \mathrm{dx}=\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\pi}{8}=0.642699081698724
$$

| Degree | Quadrature points | Coefficients |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | $0.16597,0.61942$ | 1,1 |
| 3 | $0.08851,0.39270,0.69688$ | $0.55556,0.88889,0.55556$ |
| 4 | $0.05453,0.25919,0.52621,0.73087$ | $0.34785,0.65215,0.65215,0.34785$ |

By a simple linear transformation,

$$
t=\frac{2 x-a-b}{b-a} \Leftrightarrow x=\frac{(b-a) t+(b+a)}{2}
$$

we can apply the Gaussian Quadrature formulas to any interval

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\int_{-1}^{1} f\left(\frac{(b-a) t+(b+a)}{2}\right) \frac{(b-a)}{2} d t
$$

Examples

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi / 4}(\cos (\mathrm{x}))^{2} \mathrm{dx}=\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\pi}{8}=0.642699081698724
$$

| Degree | Quadrature points | Coefficients |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | $0.16597,0.61942$ | 1,1 |
| 3 | $0.08851,0.39270,0.69688$ | $0.55556,0.88889,0.55556$ |
| 4 | $0.05453,0.25919,0.52621,0.73087$ | $0.34785,0.65215,0.65215,0.34785$ |


| Degree | Integral approximation | Error |
| ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 2 | 0.642317235049753 | $0.0003818466489 \ldots$ |
| 3 | 0.642701112090729 | $0.0000020303920 \ldots$ |
| 4 | 0.642699075999924 | $0.0000000056988 \ldots$ |

